

**REMARKS**

This Amendment is filed in response to the Final Office Action mailed on March 11, 2008. All objections and rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 20, 21, 23-25, 28-32, 37, 38, and 48-48 are currently pending.

Claims 22, and 26-27 are cancelled. However, Applicant reserves the right to bring the claims in a continuation or divisional application.

In the event that the Examiner deems personal contact desirable in disposition of this case, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned attorney at (617) 951-3067.

**Claim Rejection – 35 USC §101**

At paragraphs 4-5 of the Office Action, claim 32 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 on the grounds that the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant respectfully urges that amendment of the claims satisfies this rejection because claim 32 recites hardware by claiming a processor and the instructions are executed on the processor.

**Claim Rejection – 35 USC §112**

At Paragraphs 6-7 of the Office Action, Claims 1, 20-32, 37-38, 48-58 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, on the grounds that “it” is unknown in the claim. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

At paragraph 11 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that Claims 1, 20-21, 23-25, 28-32, 37-38, and 48-58 are allowable.

All independent claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

All dependent claims are dependent from independent claims which are believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, all dependent claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Please charge any additional fee occasioned by this paper to our Deposit Account No. 03-1237.

Respectfully submitted,

/Shannen C. Delaney/  
Shannen C. Delaney  
Reg. No. 51,605  
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP  
88 Black Falcon Avenue  
Boston, MA 02210-2414  
(617) 951-2500